CO-Defendants Treal Frank Faster

proffer of what I would say, I don't think there's any 1 necessity of that, judge. 2 THE COURT: All right. Then I'll make the findings 3 it's not impeaching, or for that reason, I will accept the 4 proffer as the statement, and it's preserved along with the 5 exhibits, and we'll go on. Let me get the jury in. We'll go 6 on to the next witness. 7 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD IN OPEN COURT 8 IN THE PRESENCE AND HEARING OF THE JURY.) 9 THE COURT: Show for the record the jury has 10 returned, and we'll continue on with further evidence by 11 Mr. Skelton. 12 MR. SKELTON: We're going to recall Mark Foster, 13. and since we're on a different day, I would ask him to be 14 resworn. 15 THE COURT: Yes. I would ask him to come up and be 16 17 resworn. (Witness sworn) 18 MARK FOSTER, 19 called as a witness on behalf of the Defense herein, having 20 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. SKELTON: 24

- O. Would you state your name please.
- A. Mark Foster.

- Q. And Mark, you testified briefly yesterday, is that correct?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And you're the same person that testified yesterday?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. You had provided us with information or testimony concerning your view of what used to be the Clark gas station located at Empire and Linden Streets in Bloomington, Illinois, is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And at my request, have you made certain measurements of, from specific points to specific points?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. I'd like to show you, if I could please, what's earlier been marked as People's Exhibit Number Ten and ask you if you recognize the area that is portrayed or depicted in that photograph?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Does it look somewhat different today?
- A. Yes, it does.
 - Q. Or -- and has it during the year two thousand to

your knowledge?

- A. Yes.
- Q. The graveled area that is in the forefront of that would be on what corner of Linden, of the intersection of Linden and Empire streets in Bloomington please?
 - A. Northeast corner.
- Q. And the perspective of that photograph would be basically from northwest to southeast?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. In that photograph, the second house from the right that is depicted in the background of that photograph, are vou familiar with that home?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And has it at some point in time been identified to you as being the former residence of one Carlos Luna?
 - A. Yes, it has.
 - Q. Do you see the northwest corner of that building?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And you're familiar with that after being there, getting permission to be on the yard and things of that nature?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. I would now like to show you what's been marked as People's Exhibit Number Five and earlier admitted into

evidence. Can you tell me how that photograph relates to People's Exhibit Number Ten, which we just talked about.

- A. This is actually a photograph of where this first photograph was taken.
- Q. So it kind of is the opposite view, that would be southeast to northwest?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. And is it fair to state, Mark, that in 1991, well -- were you in the Bloomington community at that time?
 - A. No, I was not.
- Q. So you don't know from personal knowledge what that area looked like on March thirty-first of 1991?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. I'd also be showing you People's Exhibit Number One, which purports to be a photograph of a Clark station. Are you familiar with that area?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. The street that is in the foreground of this photograph would be what street?
 - A. East Empire.
- Q. And the curb that I'm pointing at now would be the north or the south curb of that street?
 - A. It would be the north.
 - Q. If you go past the gas pumps and past the east side

of the building that is depicted in People's Exhibit Number One and continue walking north, what do you come to?

A. I come to an alley.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And that -- the composition of that alley that you've seen in the year two thousand is what please?
 - A. It's not paved. It has more rock.
- Q. Did you have occasion to measure from the south edge of that alley directly south to the north curb of Empire street?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Can you tell us the distance that separated those two fixed points?
 - A. From the north curb to the south of the alley?
 - O. Yes.
- A. Yeah. I believe it was in my notes. I believe it was 160 feet, I believe is what it was.
- Q. Mark, did you prepare a report when you went out and took these measurements?
 - A. Yes I did.
- Q. And referring to that, would that possibly refresh your recollection?
 - A. Yes, it would.
 - O. As to specific distances?
 - A. Yes, it would.

- Q. Mark, I'd now be tendering to you People's,
 Defendant's Exhibit Number 12, which purports to be a
 memorandum from yourself to myself dated August 10th, two
 thousand. I'd like to you take a look at that for a moment.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And does that refresh your recollection as to the specific distance between the north curb of Empire Street, going due north to the south line of the alley that is located in that block?
 - A. Yes, it does.
 - Q. And that distance is what please?
 - A. It's actually 160 feet, six inches.
 - Q. 160?
 - MR. SKELTON: One sixty with six inches after that
- Q. Mark, referring back to People's Exhibit Number
 Ten, which displaces the Luna house or depicts the Luna
 house, did you have occasion to measure from the northwest
 corner of that building to the telephone pole that has
 several transformers located at the top of it?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And you've been there once again, to this lot, in the year two thousand, correct?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. And is the telephone pole depicted in People's

Exhibit Number 10 consistent with what that telephone pole looks like today?

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. It still has transformers at the top?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And some sort of junction box or a metal box at the base of it?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. On a line from the northwest corner of the Luna home to that box, how many feet is that?
- A. From the northwest corner to that box, it's approximately 95 feet.
- Q. Now, the house itself, you have not measured its dimensions, have you?
 - A. I have not.
- Q. On People's Exhibit Number Ten, on the west wall, there are -- there appears to be, near the north side, a window facing west?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Is that consistent with what you've seen?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. What is your best estimate of the distance between the northwest corner of that home and the north edge of that window on the west side of the Lunar residence?

- A. My best estimate would be three and a half feet.
- Q. Mark, additionally, have you at my request taken certain photographs at specified distances?
 - A. Yes, I have.

MR. REYNARD: Your Honor, may we approach?

THE COURT: Sure.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD AT THE BENCH OUTSIDE THE HEARING OF THE JURY.)

MR. REYNARD: For purposes of my motion, I am moving to stipulate that Mr. Foster will be able to identify these exhibits and discuss his taking of the pictures and that they truly and accurately portray what they purport to portray. The Court is looking at them now, and I would object to them being furnished to the witness, discussed in any fashion. They purport to show perspectives during daylight hours in a location that is totally --

MR. SKELTON: Judge, excuse me, may I make a suggestion? I've got some, another area to go into with Mr. Foster that is impeachment. Could we deal with this after I get that done?

THE COURT: That's a good idea.

MR. SKELTON: So we don't have to mess around with the jury.

THE COURT: Sure.

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD IN OPEN COURT 1 IN THE PRESENCE AND HEARING OF THE JURY.) 2 3 Mark, one of the things I asked you to do was talk 0. to a person by the name of Danny Martinez, is that correct? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And did you do so? Q. 6 7 Yes, I did. Α. On how many times did you talk to him face to face? Q. 8 Three times. Α. 9 Can you tell us the dates of those conversations 0. 10 please? 11 The 13th of July, the twenty eighth of July, and 12 Α. the tenth of August of this year. 13 14 On the second of those two contacts, were you there 15 for a specific purpose, or did I give you a specific 16 assignment? 17 Α. Yes, I was. 18 Q. And that was to discuss generically with 19 Mr. Martinez what issue, if any? 20 Α. It was discussed with Mr. Martinez the exact 21 distance he was away from identifying the person that came out of the Clark station. 22 And that would be referencing back to March 23 0. 24 thirty-first of 1991?

- 1.
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- $1\dot{3}$
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 23
- 24

- A. Yes.
- Q. At any point in time, did you have a conversation with Mr. Martinez about his ability to identify that person that he had said he saw come out of the Clark station?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Can you tell us what date that took place on?
- A. On the -- on, sorry, July 13th and the twenty-eighth.
- Q. Let's focus on the 13th, if we could please. What, if anything, did Mr. Martinez tell you about his ability to identify the person he saw coming out of the Clark station?
- A. He stated without a doubt that if he saw the person again, he could identify the person.
- Q. Did you ask him during that conversation if he had earlier made any identification or put a name with a face that he had seen?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what did he tell you?
 - A. He stated that no, he had not identified anyone.
- Q. Moving on to your next conversation -- is that the entirety of the portion of your discussion that you had with Mr. Martinez on the 13th, I believe it was, relating to identification?
 - A. We had talked about Mr. Snow at the time too.

A. Yes.

1,3

- Q. At any point in time, did you have a conversation with Mr. Martinez about his ability to identify that person that he had said he saw come out of the Clark station?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Can you tell us what date that took place on?
- A. On the -- on, sorry, July 13th and the twenty-eighth.
- Q. Let's focus on the 13th, if we could please. What if anything, did Mr. Martinez tell you about his ability to identify the person he saw coming out of the Clark station?
- A. He stated without a doubt that if he saw the person again, he could identify the person.
- Q. Did you ask him during that conversation if he had earlier made any identification or put a name with a face that he had seen?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what did he tell you?
 - A. He stated that no, he had not identified anyone.
- Q. Moving on to your next conversation -- is that the entirety of the portion of your discussion that you had with Mr. Martinez on the 13th, I believe it was, relating to identification?
 - A. We had talked about Mr. Snow at the time too.

1.3

- Q. And what did he say, if anything, in reference to Mr. Snow?
- A. That it was definitely not Mr. Snow that came out of the Clark station.
- Q. The next meeting you had with him or contact you had with him was on July twentieth of two thousand?
 - A. Twenty-eighth?
- Q. Twenty-eighth, excuse me. And where would that have taken place?
 - A. At his residence.
- Q. And is that similar to the location where you spoke to him the first time?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. You indicated on the twenty-eighth that the purpose for you going there was to establish the distance that separated him from the person that he said he saw coming out of the station, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. During the course of that conversation that you had with him, with Mr. Martinez, did your scope become expanded?
 - A. Yes, it did.
- Q. And did it include any questions about identification or answers concerning identification?
 - A. Yes, it did.

- Q. What statement, if any, did Mr. Martinez make to you on that date of July twenty-eighth concerning identification of the person that he had seen on Easter Sunday of 91, coming out of the Clark station?
- A. On the twenty-eighth, Mr. Martinez had informed me that he identified Jamie Snow as being the one that had left the Clark station.
- Q. And did he tell you when he put those two together or made that identification?
- A. He told me that at approximately two weeks ago, he had made that identification.
- Q. I'm sorry to do this nonsequentially, but going back to your first contact with Mr. Martinez, had you had an opportunity to review reports that were generated during the course of the investigation of this matter and provided to me pursuant to discovery compliance?
 - A. Yes, I had.
- Q. And did you discuss that with Mr. Martinez during your first conversation?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did he tell you that there was anything inconsistent contained in those reports that he was aware of
 - A. No.
 - Q. The last contact you had with Mr. Martinez, once

- 1 again, would have occurred when please?
 - A. August 10th of this year.
 - Q. And was that a lengthy contact or, or not?
 - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

- O. And how did that contact come about, Mark?
- A. I stopped by Mr. Martinez's residence to speak to him.
- Q. And was there any conversation of substance that took place that day?
- A. I only spoke for a few seconds, nothing of substance, no.
- Q. And did you have -- did you want to talk to him that day?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And did he advise you that he didn't want to?
- A. He advised me at that time that he was upset with me.
 - Q. And he was upset with you, based on his words, for what?
 - A. Based on his words, upset with me because I had relayed information to the defense that he considered to be off the record.
 - Q. Now, during the initial two conversations that you had with Mr. Martinez, he did speak to you?

That's correct. Α. 1 Did that voluntarily? 2 Ο. Yes. 3 Α. Didn't hold a gun to his head or anything of that ο. 4 5 nature? 6 A. No. Or offer him any kind of payment for talking to 7 Q. you? 8 No. 9 Α. Did you advise him that you were in my employ and 10 Q. working for the defense in this case? 11 Yes, I did. Α. 12 Did he appear to understand that? Q. 13 Yes, he did. 14 Α. And he spoke to you? 15 Q. 16 Α. Yes, he did. 17 Q. And he relayed information to you? Α. Yes. 18 At the initial stages of that first contact or 19 0. conversation that you had with Mr. Martinez, was he eager to 20 21 speak to you, or how would you characterize? At the initial stages, he was somewhat hesitant to 22 Α.

During the course of your job doing what you do, do

23

24

speak to me.

Ο.

you on occasion take notes?

- A. On occasion, yes.
- Q. During this first contact with Mr. Martinez, did you take notes?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. What was the length or the duration of that first conversation that you had with Mr. Martinez?
 - A. Approximately an hour to an hour to 15 minutes.
- Q. Did you at a later point in time record your recollection of what you talked about?
 - A. No.
- Q. Is it clear in your mind, however, what you talked about with him?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Why didn't you take notes that day, if you recall?
- A. On the 13th, the first day I spoke to Mr. Martinez, his information he relied to me was consistent with what was provided to us from the State from discovery.
 - Q. Did you show him those reports?
- A. After we discussed the matter fully, yes, I did show him the reports.
- Q. And based on your review of those reports, did at least one of those refer to a statement that he had given on March thirty-first or April first of 1991?

1 Α. Correct. 2 Did it also relate to a June twenty-first, 1991, 0. 3 lineup viewing? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And did it also relate to a session in which he Q. looked at a number of photographs or at least several 6 photographs provided to him by the Bloomington Police 7 8 Department? 9 Α. Yes. And did he indicate that he had any knowledge that 10 Q. was inconsistent with the contents of either of those three 11 12 reports? 13 Α. He did. 14 Or either of those three reports? 0. 15 Α. He did not. 16 Moving on to the twenty-eighth, that was the second Q. 17 time you talked to him? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Did you take notes that time? 20 Α. No. 21 Did Mr. Martinez at any point in time use the term Q. 22 off the record? 23 Α. Yes, he did. 24 0. And in what context did he use that?

Τ.	A. He prior we were there for the specific
2	matter, as we discussed earlier, to gauge the distance
3	between him and the person in the Clark station, and at some
4	point, he stated, well, off the record, I have identified
5	Mr. Snow as the one coming out of the Clark station.
6	Q. Were there any other areas or parts of that
7	conversation where he used that term off the record?
8	A. I don't recall. He did several times during the
9	three conversations we had, but I don't recall on the second
10	time.
11	Q. Subject to the earlier agreement of counsel, Your
12	Honor, I would not I would now tender the witness.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Reynard.
14	
15	CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. REYNARD:
17	Q. I just need to look at the pictures for a moment,
18	judge. Mr. Foster, as I understand
19	MR. SKELTON: Charlie, I'm sorry this is the
20	18th time I've done this and I can only apologize for the
21	18th time.
22	THE COURT: Go ahead.
23	
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT.)

BY MR. SKELTON:

 $1\overline{5}$

- Q. In reference to measurements, Mark, on the lot that formerly contained the Clark station, you established earlier that there is a, a like a restaurant located there now?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is there a sidewalk that is adjacent to that restaurant that runs east and west on the south side of that?
 - A. Yes, there is.
- Q. Did you, from that northwest corner that we've earlier talked about of the Luna home, make a measurement to the south edge of the sidewalk that runs along the front or the, the south wall of the Lucca restaurant?
 - A. I did.
- Q. And the distance from that northwest corner of the Luna residence to that sidewalk was what please?
 - A. I'm sorry, the question again.
- Q. Which measurement are we talking about? Did you measure from the Luna residence, the northwest corner of that structure, to the south edge of the sidewalk of the Lucca restaurant?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And that's the sidewalk I earlier described running east and west on the south side?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And the distance is, that separated those two points is what?
 - A. Approximately 205 feet.
 - Q. Thank you. Now, I quit.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Reynard.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. REYNARD:

- Q. Mr. Foster, with regard to that last point that counsel elicited from you, is it fair to say that the -- you don't know where that point on the sidewalk on the south edge of the Lucca restaurant is with any degree of precision with reference to where the front door of the Clark station was back in 1991, and that is because you didn't -- you weren't there back then, is that a fair statement?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, with regard to what you testified to earlier, my understanding is that with reference to People's Exhibit Number Ten, you measured from the, the corner of the Luna, former Luna residence, that northwest corner, to the either the northwest corner or the southwest corner, that little metal box by the power pole?
 - A. Correct.
 - O. And that was about 95 feet?

- A. Approximately, yes.
- Q. And was it from that point that you then measured back to the alley?
 - A. No, sir.

- Q. Okay. What was the point that you measured from the, I think you had indicated it was the south -- it was the north curb of Empire street -- at what point on that north curb of Empire street did you measure back to the alley?
- A. Just -- it would be just west of this pole here, just west of that.
 - Q. Without -- so the jury knows, how many feet west?
- A. Well, there's a fence there now. It's right where the -- I am not exactly sure how many feet it would be from that pole.
 - Q. Less than ten feet?
 - A. That would be approximate.
- Q. So ten feet would be a best estimate as to the, the jog in the overall measurement line, that if we were going to ask the jury to compute from the Luna home to the pole, and then west maybe ten feet or so, and then they can picture your line of measurement back to the alley?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. You remember seeing People's Exhibit 54?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And you are familiar with it, oriented with what it diagrammatically depicts?
 - A. I haven't seen it for a while, but yes.
- Q. Okay. Could you step down and approach the exhibit. And maybe you could bring those photographs from the witness chair too in case we need to refer to them. With whatever photographic reference assistance you need, can you indicate where approximately, and only for demonstrative purposes, where on what we refer to as the Empire Street curb here, that phone pole is, or that power pole is that we're referring to, I think it was in People's Ten.
- A. I'm not sure what this line here is depicting here, so it's hard to place the pole.
- MR. SKELTON: I think it would be -- we would be able, able to stipulate that that represents the fence line on the east edge of the Clark station, and although it may not be exact, that I believe we -- we are in agreement, Mr. Reynard, that that would be close to the current fence line.
- MR. REYNARD: I think that's a fair characterization. Assume that the current fence line is approximately in the same location as this line. Where would the phone pole be?
 - A. Approximately in this area here.

- Q. Indicating within about an inch to the west of the line on the photograph indicating about here where my finger is?
 - A. Approximately.
- Q. Okay. And so if we moved approximately ten feet to the west, and I'm pointing to the, to the left of the diagram, approximately ten feet, you then measured backwards to the alley, which is at the top of the diagram, is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that's the measurement that you, that you came up with, 160 feet?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Thank you. You can resume the stand. I believe you indicated on direct examination, Mr. Foster, that you spoke to Mr. Martinez on three occasions, the first of which was July 13, two thousand for approximately one hour, 15 minutes, on July twenty eighth, two thousand for a much briefer period of time, and on August 10, two thousand, for an even briefer period of time when he was upset with you?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. You're a licensed investigator, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Six years experience as a private investigator, is

that right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is it also correct that you have had previous investigation experience?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. As a, a licensed and experienced investigator, would it be fair to say that you do know the significance of documenting your work?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And by documentation, you understand me to mean that it -- you take notes or you take written and signed statements, or you take and record information from witnesses and/or you write reports concerning your work?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And would it be fair to say that the significance of documenting your work in that fashion is to make certain that you don't forget anything? Is that one of the purposes that you would document your work?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And to make certain that you got it right, that you didn't misunderstand, is that another reason to document your work?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. And is another possible reason to protect yourself

from a witness who might change his mind or remember the conversation differently from the way that you remember it?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, in this case, for example, Mr. Martinez wasn't the only person with whom you conducted investigative interviews, was he?
 - A. No.

- Q. Was he the only one that you interviewed three times?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. He may -- you may have -- you may have interviewed him more than any other witness, isn't that a fair statement?
 - A. That's possible.
- Q. However, would it be fair to say that you interviewed at least 14 witnesses in this case?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And when you spoke to those witnesses, did you take notes?
 - A. Several of them, I did, yes.
- Q. And with regard to at least 14 of them, of the witnesses, did you -- you did prepare a, reports, which you submitted to the defense lawyer for each of the witnesses, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And some of those reports would be as short as the one I'm showing you now, which has Julie Knight's name on it, maybe a third of a page long?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And others would be perhaps as long as or longer than the one that you did for Bridget Logsdon, which is maybe three quarters of a page long?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If I understand your testimony correctly, in none of the three interviews of Mr. Martinez, did you take any notes?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you didn't tape record what he had to say either, did you?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. You didn't take a written and signed statement from him?
 - A. No.
- Q. And you didn't even write a report for any of those interviews the way you did with at least some of, other witnesses?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Which is to say you didn't in any manner document these conversations in order to make certain that you didn't

- forget anything that Mr. Martinez told you?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Is that a fair statement?
- A. Correct.
- Q. You didn't in any way document your conversations with him to make certain that you got it right, that you didn't misunderstand him? Isn't that also true?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you certainly didn't protect yourself from the possibility that he would change his mind or recall this conversation differently than you have recalled it, did you?
 - A. No.
- Q. Now, you -- you are advised now that Mr. Martinez has denied several key statements, which you have attributed to him. You are aware of it, aren't you?
 - A. I've heard that, yes.
- Q. Now, in the very first interview on July 13, which lasted an hour and 15 minutes, if -- you've indicated on direct examination that Mr. Martinez told you that Snow was not the person he saw come out of the gas station at, that night, and that the police have the wrong guy? Did he tell you those things?
 - A. Not those exact words, no.
 - Q. Is that the substance of what he told you?

Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Now, you recall that there was a hearing in this Court prior to the trial actually beginning, don't you, back on August 14th?
 - Α. Yes.
- And do you recall that I interviewed you Q. immediately before that hearing out in the conference room right outside the Court?
 - Α. Yes.
- 0. And that was to find out what your testimony at the motion was going to be, wasn't it?
 - Α. Yes.
- ο. And that's because I didn't know what it was going to be because you had prepared no reports or any documentation of your work, isn't that a fair statement?
 - Α. Yes.
- Q. Now, during the course of that interview, you, did you not, saw me taking notes of what you were telling me?
 - Α. Yes.
- And I asked you during the course of that interview Q. how many times you spoke with Mr. Martinez, is that true?
 - Α. Yes.
- Q. And did I ask you what was said during the first interview of Mr. Martinez?

A. Yes, you did.

1.2

- Q. And is it a fair statement that you told me that all he told you was pretty much verbatim from the police reports?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Is it also true that you did not, at any time during my interview of you in the conference room, tell me that Mr. Martinez had specifically seen a newspaper picture of Snow and had said he was not the person he saw at the gas station?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, you knew at that moment, did you not, that that was not verbatim from the police reports?
 - A. I was aware of that, yes.
- Q. You know the difference between being un, unable to identify someone and saying definitely on the other hand, saying definitely that someone is not the suspect; you know there's a difference between those two realities, don't you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You recall I asked you if there was anything else in the first interview, and then we went on to the second interview, isn't that a fair statement?
 - A. I don't recall that exact question, no.
 - Q. We did go on to talk about the second interview,

though, didn't we?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9 .

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- A. Yes, we did.
- Q. And you told me that that had more specifically to do with how far he was from the person who came out of the station?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then we went on to the third conversation; you told me Martinez was upset with you, and it was a very brief conversation, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. But the most significant detail of all, which was in the first conversation, the fact that Martinez had said they have the wrong guy, something which was something that wasn't verbatim in the reports, you omitted to tell us, isn't that also true?
 - A. We didn't have time to discuss that, no.
 - Q. Okay. You omitted to tell us that, didn't you?
- A. I didn't think -- we didn't have enough time that, to go over all of the facts in discussion.
 - Q. You omitted to tell us that, didn't you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And when I say tell us, I actually had a witness to our conversation present also, didn't I?
 - A. Yes.

- O. And who was that?
 - A. Miss Griffin.
- Q. And having a witness to a conversation is another way of documenting your work, isn't it?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And in none of your conversations with Martinez did
 you have a witness present to corroborate your version of
 what statements you are attributing to him now?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Now, I think you've indicated on direct examination that during the second interview with Martinez on July twenty-eighth, he told you that detectives showed up and showed him a photo lineup, is that a fair characterization of what you have testified to?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you know now, of course, that detectives didn't show up to show him a lineup, isn't that also true?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. That in fact, you know now he had merely come to Miss Griffin's office to prepare for his testimony at trial?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then he told you that he -- excuse me a moment.

 He told you at that point in time that he had identified a

 Jamie Snow as the person he saw at the Clark station that

1	night?		
2	A. Yes.		
3	Q. That came as a surprise to you at that moment,		
4	didn't it?		
5	A. Yes, it did.		
6	Q. And so you immediately asked him about the fact		
7	that he had changed his identification, didn't you?		
8	A. No, I did not.		
9	Q. No further questions.		
10	THE COURT: Mr. Skelton.		
11			
12	REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
13	BY MR. SKELTON:		
14	Q. Mark, have you forgotten your conferences with		
15	Mr. Martinez, which have occurred within the last two months		
16	month and a half?		
17	A. No, I have not.		
18	Q. Are those conversations fresh in your mind?		
19	A. Yes.		
20	Q. Are you uncertain as to anything Mr. Martinez told		
21	you about his ability to make an identification?		
22	A. No.		
23	Q. As to either of the first two conversations you ha		
24	with him?		
	1		

1	Α.	No.		
2	Q.	How long was your conversation with Mr. Reynard in		
3	the confe	erence room outside this courtroom approximately two		
4	weeks ago?			
5	A.	Approximately three minutes.		
6	Q.	Based upon your review and our discussions of the		
7	police re	ports that we've earlier referred to, did		
8	Mr. Marti	nez, by virtue of his professed inability to		
9	identify	anybody, appear to be a, a witness that we would		
10	want to c	all?		
11	A.	Yes.		
12	Q.	And did you in fact serve a subpoena on		
13	Mr. Martinez?			
14	Α.	Yes, I did.		
15	Q.	On behalf of the defense?		
16	Α.	Yes, I did.		
17		MR. REYNARD: At this point, I'll object to counsel		
18	leading t	he witness.		
19		THE COURT: Sustained.		
20		MR. SKELTON:		
21	Q.	At any point in time, did I ask you to serve		
22	subpoenas	upon potential witnesses for the defense?		
23	Α.	Yes, you did.		
24	Q.	Was Mr. Martinez included in that list or not?		
		l l		

2 ο. And did you in fact do what I asked you to do? 3 Α. Yes, you did. Yes, I did. 4 Q. And prior to your first conversation with Mr. Martinez, you've indicated earlier that we discussed 5 6 those reports, and I provided you with copies of them? 7 MR. REYNARD: Objection to leading. 8 MR. SKELTON: This is foundationary, Your Honor. 9 MR. REYNARD: Withdrawn. 10 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Based on your understanding of the case and your Q. 13 understanding of the contents of those reports that I had given to you, was there anything in there that you believed 14 15 to be damaging or damaging to the defense? 16 Objection to conclusions. MR. REYNARD: 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 MR. SKELTON: 19 Q. What, if anything, in those reports that -- I have already asked this. I'll stop now, judge. I have no other 20 21 questions. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Reynard. 23 MR. REYNARD: Nothing further. 24 THE COURT: Then let me ask counsel to approach for

Α.

1

Yes, he was.

1	a moment.
2	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD AT THE BENCH
3	OUTSIDE THE HEARING OF THE JURY.)
4	THE COURT: Before we deal with this, I need to as
5	a couple of questions. Do you have any other witnesses right
6	now?
7	MR. SKELTON: Not any short ones, judge.
8	THE COURT: Does Knight have to go on at one, is
9	that what you told me?
10	MR. REYNARD: She has a two o'clock appointment.
11	THE COURT: So you want to try to accommodate her
12	at one?
13	MR. REYNARD: I only have to ask her two or three
14	questions.
15	THE COURT: We can reopen on cross.
16	MR. SKELTON: I can do that at one.
17	THE COURT: And I would be more than happy to do
18	that for you.
19	MR. SKELTON: We've got Cherrie Cochran, who I have
20	spoken to. I've got Carol Powell, and let me check my
21	witness list.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. SKELTON: We've got Gain done. I've got
24	Cochran, Carol Powell, Tim Powell, Aaron Fox, and Tony