

Bob Ruff: And that's what I'm getting at. I think that was probably strategic. But I want to know what happened with you to go from you telling them "I don't think there's any chance Jamie did it" to testifying that you believed he did do it in court. What happened during that time? Whether it's just your own conscious, interactions with police, interactions with prosecutors. Whatever the case may be. What got you into that position to where you were willing to stand in court and testify to that?

Ed Palumbo: I don't know. It was a battle.

Bob Ruff: What do you mean by that?

Ed Palumbo: It was a battle whether I should just totally... I definitely did not want to have anything to do with that shit no more. I thought... Like I said, I never ever ever thought that anything I said would be significant enough to matter.

Bob Ruff: Why didn't you think it would be significant?

Ed Palumbo: Because I never believed that Jamie did that. And I made that perfectly clear to every single person. And why wasn't that significant? Because they manipulated everything around. Not to make myself sound like an angel. I'm no angel, but damn. I was young and dumb and in love. And I really honestly thought that this would be, like swept under the rug.

Bob Ruff: Did anyone ever... any of the authorities ever tell you that other people had already said Jamie confessed?

Ed Palumbo: Yup. Yup. They did.

Bob Ruff: Who told you that?

Ed Palumbo: After I went to jail... Because I had a big problem with... Julie was breaking up with Barkes, and she wanted to go visit me while I was in jail. And there was a big problem because she worked there.

Bob Ruff: Right.

Ed Palumbo: I don't know if you know about that. But anyway...

Bob Ruff: Once you got arrested for the bus station robbery...

Ed Palumbo: They pretty much distanced him from me then. Because, I guess he was in charge of that case where the kid.

Bob Ruff: With Bill Little's case?

Ed Palumbo: Yeah. So after I went to jail and prison, he never was around.

Bob Ruff: So you didn't have any more contact with him after that?

Ed Palumbo: No. They made sure of that.

Bob Ruff: Even in 1999 when they come back and interview you, and you get ready for trial, nothing with Barkes?

Ed Palumbo: I don't remember ever seeing him.

Bob Ruff: Who'd you deal with? Was it Katz?

Ed Palumbo: Probably one of them. They're manipulative. Boy, they lie. They all, they know it.

Bob Ruff: How... Lied to you?

Ed Palumbo: Yeah.

Bob Ruff: What did they do to lie to you?

Ed Palumbo: I told them. I says Jamie didn't do this shit. But they didn't care. I told them that I would testify to that, and they didn't care.

Bob Ruff: Are they the ones that told you that other people had already...?

Ed Palumbo: Yup. Yup. They said, this ain't nothing. I told them, then quit calling me. I don't want to be routed back here. I don't want to go to the grand jury or

none of that shit. I don't want to be involved in this. I'm in prison, and you think I want to be taken out knowing that I'm going to go to court to testify against this Jamie kid? You know, that doesn't even make no damn sense.

Bob Ruff: But you did go testify. And that's what I'm curious as to why. Were they offering you...

Ed Palumbo: And I wasn't ready to go. It was either do that, or... You know, they done brought me from the work camp where I was at and put me in seg, and told me I was going to Statesville or whatever, golly it again. And then I ended up going to Logan. And they took all my property. Held my property until the day I walked out. Didn't have no property the whole time I was there. They kept my TV and everything.

Bob Ruff: Was that standard procedure, or were they doing that to push you into testifying?

Ed Palumbo: Hell no. They weren't giving me... They weren't gonna give me nothing unless I went to court. They kept that shit until the day I walked out of jail. They would not give it to me. They weren't happy about that shit.

Bob Ruff: Well, and that's what I'm trying to figure out. What is the pressure? I know... I'll tell you, I've heard from other people that one of their tactics was... Listen. He's already getting convicted anyway, because everybody else is already doing this. And they were offering... Did they ever offer you any kind of leniency?

Ed Palumbo: I don't... No.

Bob Ruff: They didn't offer you anything for it?

Ed Palumbo: But you know, they always act like maybe... When I did it, I was already in jail and stuff basically. I just did it for like a visit. Can I see my girlfriend? You mean, that's all they did for me.

Bob Ruff: Let you visit, is that Shannon?

Ed Palumbo: Yeah.

Bob Ruff: So when they bring you back, they would let you see her?

Ed Palumbo: Yeah. That's it. They knew they had that. Barkes led them right into that. He knew that I didn't wanna... You know, I was young and in love. He knew that I was willing to make a deal with the devil in order to stay home. But he already was too smart, that he let me out that last time and I took off.

Bob Ruff: That makes more sense. You've said to me several times today that I was young and in love, but it didn't make sense until you just explained that. So they were...

Ed Palumbo: Playing on that.

Bob Ruff: So I guess... And I know I keep coming back to it, but you gotta understand the obvious question is... When you tell me that you're telling them "If I testify, I'll testify for Jamie," but then when you get on the stand you didn't. And I can't...

Ed Palumbo: I mean as time went by, I told them basically... Every one of them knew, even way before I even went to court, they all knew that I... By then they must have gathered all kinds of information. I never really knew what the hell they had on him.

Bob Ruff: They didn't have nothing but a bunch of your. Bunch of guys just like you.

Ed Palumbo: Right. And that just, that always baffled me. I was like, what the hell? I figured we would be drinking, laughing about this years later. Maybe he'd punch me in the eye or something, and then... But man, in my wildest dreams, I wish... I didn't even realize it. Honest to God. I was like... And you know, I didn't think I said anything that spurred it on. But Jamie... I mean, honest to God. I thought everything was gonna be hearsay. But then, right I went to court. I figured when someone was fucking with my time and I couldn't get at them, it's all a bunch of bullshit.

Bob Ruff: Who was fucking with your time?

Ed Palumbo: I'm just saying that when you fuck with my time, I'm gonna fuck with you. You know, I'm getting ready to get out of fucking prison after damn near nine

years in prison, and people wanted to push it to the limit. You know?

Bob Ruff: What do you mean by that?

Ed Palumbo: Try to make it where my time got bucked up.

Bob Ruff: Like adding more to it? Or putting you in seg and...

Ed Palumbo: Adding more to it. Pushing me to do something that, you know, I might go to prison for the rest of my life for.

Bob Ruff: So I guess maybe I... So the question I had was... Back before when asked if they offered you a deal, they didn't. But were they threatening you with more time if you didn't...

Ed Palumbo: Oh yeah. Yes. They told me that they were going to bring me to court and I can be charged with perjury. Or, what else is it?

Bob Ruff: Obstruction of justice?

Ed Palumbo: Not just that. There was a different one. Whatever adds up to five more years.

Bob Ruff: Yeah, they can throw an aggravated on the front of anything.

Ed Palumbo: Yeah, whatever. Yeah, I already know.

Bob Ruff: Aggravated obstruction of justice. Aggravated perjury.

Ed Palumbo: Yeah. All that. All that. And I was thinking five years. I had myself convinced that I was not going to get out of prison, that I might have to defend myself... you know, in the wrong circumstances. I mean, I don't know. I was under a delusion that I might not get out of prison for some reason.

Bob Ruff: So here you say... Let me know if this is... Again, I don't want to put words in your mouth, but is this a fair assessment of the situation -- that you're months away from getting out, they bring you in...

Ed Palumbo: Weeks. Weeks. A month. Really close.

Bob Ruff: And there's telling you if you don't go testify against Jamie, we're going to hit you with obstruction of justice. Perjury.

Ed Palumbo: If I don't go to court, yeah.

Bob Ruff: So is that the...

Ed Palumbo: The catalyst for me even going. And I still got one to say they'll lock me in seg and ship me, and then they said...

Bob Ruff: They locked you in seg before the trial?

Ed Palumbo: They packed all my shit. And they said you're going to court. And I said, all my property and shit. And they told me I was going to Dahlia or Statesville, or whatever the hell it was at that time. And I ended up going to Logan or Lincoln. I can't remember which one it was, where I had talked to some people about my situation.

Bob Ruff: Right. And they never gave you your property back?

Ed Palumbo: No. Yeah, they didn't give me my property or nothing. Nothing. And I was stuck in the dome. And I was in the cushy spot. I was in a work camp.

Bob Ruff: So were you at the camp at the other prison?

Ed Palumbo: I was living the dream, man. Mowing every day, bush hogging. Loving prison. Ready to get out. Next thing you know is, I'm not going to court or nothing. And they said... He asked me why. With that little threat, they threw me in seg and I was on my way.

Bob Ruff: So you were put in seg before the trial?

Ed Palumbo: Yeah. Yes.

Bob Ruff: How long were you in seg before the trial? Do you remember?

Ed Palumbo: I don't remember but.

Bob Ruff: I mean, are we talking days, weeks, months?

Ed Palumbo: Oh shit. Weeks. Put in. Thinking I was going to seg. And all because of this. Like I didn't want to be involved in that. I didn't want to be. But I was mad. And in my mind I'm thinking, can I win this? You know, turn this around. And that's where the story keeps going over and over and over. Kind of like the way they wanted to go, making me the piece of shit the whole time. When I really wasn't that much of a piece of shit. In the beginning I thought, hey I'm gonna turn this around and the hoke will be on them. You know? In my mind somehow, in that confusion, I actually started believing that I might just turn this around. But then it turned out, I wasn't as smart as I thought I was. And then they turned it around on me. Oh, yeah? You know?

Bob Ruff: Well now you're in seg. We're taking your shit. We're gonna give you more time if you don't do...

Ed Palumbo: Five more years, you know? For every charge. I don't know, man. Alls I know is I done all that time, and then I was like, Oh my God. Now I'm getting out of prison. I'm going to court on this joker, you know. I mean, this is crazy, man. This ain't me at all. I wanted as far as I could get away from that.

Bob Ruff: So is that a fair assessment that they... I get the motivation why. And then did you feel that, it wasn't going to hurt anything anyway?

Ed Palumbo: Right. Especially with three other people disputing it, saying he said this shit. But everybody that knows Jamie knows that he's not that kind of guy. Which even at the worst scenario, I mean he's just a bragger. And he ain't the person that did that. Because he ain't that guy. He wouldn't even be acting like that. If he did something like that and you look at someone that has done something like that, you know if you've been in prison, you know someone they look just like anybody. But they get that edge on them, you know? They get that way about them. And Jamie don't have that.

Bob Ruff: Have you been around murderers in your life?

Ed Palumbo: I've been in prison three times. I've been in prison three times.

Bob Ruff: Like I said, and the reason I say that is because a lot of people listening... When you're saying that edge, they don't... If you've never been around somebody, they don't...

Ed Palumbo: Yeah, they will hurt you. For real.

Bob Ruff: But you know what that looks like.

Ed Palumbo: Yeah. That ain't Jamie. Jamie's just a pot smoking, slick talking, woman loving... You know what I'm saying? That's the way Jamie is. Jamie's a ladies man. Used to be, you know?

Bob Ruff: Right. So did you feel like... Fine. I'll go in there, tell the story the state wants me to tell so they don't fuck with me. And nothing's gonna happen to Jamie.

Ed Palumbo: Yeah. And I really believed that anything at all even said about me would just be hearsay. Because who am I? I'm already in jail. You know? I'm going to make a deal with the devil that... Why would that be? And I'm not saying I was with him, you know, an eye witness. So why would this be so relevant? But I believe that it was relevant because certain people, they wanted to close the case by any means possible. And I think that the record shows that they don't do very well. And if you don't believe in a blue line, then you really are a fool. Their job is to make a conviction by any means possible. An Attaboy or not attaboy. It's either good or no good. So they provide a service, which is take care of business. Just like we provide a service, to take care of business. And it is what it is. It's a war. You know, they win a lot. And if you underestimate the law, then you're a fool.

Bob Ruff: Do you regret testifying against Jamie?

Ed Palumbo: Yes.

Bob Ruff: What did... I've got the documents, but I'll let you say. What did you do afterwards to try to help him?

Ed Palumbo: Anything I could.

Bob Ruff: I know there's two affidavits.

Ed Palumbo: You know, I got nothing but love for Jamie. I know he's salty. I know that this situation is dire. And I don't feel like it's fair. So I feel like it's... Even if it makes me look bad, you know, I come out of this and maybe bring this stuff to light again. It is what it is. I'm trying to do the right thing by him. But obviously it was his doom. I felt like what I said was so minimal that it would just be discarded. But it wasn't. It came to the point where they played that game, which put me in court before I got out of prison. And at that moment, my weakness, I broke the code.

(End recording)

Jamie Snow: I just want to say one thing about Ed Palumbo. I forgive him. You know, I get it. I understand what they did to him. And they do it all across the country, not just in McClain County. They do it everywhere. They use the fact that he was getting ready, and he had just done a long stretch in prison. He was due to get out. And they showed up, put the squeeze on him, and he wanted to be free. I get it. I understand. And am I angry? Yes, I'm angry. Of course I'm angry. But do I forgive him? Yes, I forgive him. Because he fell victim to the same thing that they did to a bunch of people. Wasn't just Ed that they put that same squeeze on, they did it to a bunch of people. So I forgive Ed. And I'll be honest, I think it took him a lot of courage for him to come clean. And when Bob Ruff interviewed him, he came clean and admitted what he did.

So I hope that more people that see what he did will get some courage. It's never too late to do the right thing, as long as the person that you wronged is still around. You can always fix it. And if I had to point the finger at anybody, to put the blame at the feet of anyone, it's at the prosecutor's feet. It's at the feet of a couple of Bloomington Police Department detectives. That's who I put the majority of the blame on them. They did this. Ed did what he did, but they put him up. And in my book, they're a million times worse than Ed or anyone else. None of these people could have done any of this without the consent of the prosecutor's office. Tina Griffin and Charles Reynard. No one. It had to be with their consent and their blessing. They knew what was going on, and they've known what went on all these years. They weren't looking for justice for Bill Little. Charles Reynard wanted to be a judge, and they used this case to move their careers forward. And that's all it was.

Tammy Alexander: What gets me is they drug him out of prison. It was like, whoop you're getting out, so here we go. We're gonna drag your ass out of prison.

Jamie Snow: Well you know, and that memo kind of corroborates what he was saying. Because in that memo, they're talking about Ed Palumbo and they say he'd blatantly been telling them to go fly a kite.

Tammy Alexander: And that's what it was. He didn't want to have anything to do with it. He said that. "I don't want to have anything to do with it." And that's when they took him out. That's when they took all this property. They took them off of work detail. They took them to Logan, didn't give him any of his shit, put them in seg. And said "Okay, you gonna testify now? Because we can stack charges against you." In his mind, he was looking at, oh my god it's not just five years. Because they're like, we can charge you for this for five years and we can charge you for this for five years. I mean, what is he supposed to do? And he knows they'll do it because they fucked him in the first place.

Bruce Fischer: Tam, what was Ed Palumbo in jail for at the time of his interview with the Bloomington Police Department? Why was he under so much pressure, and what happened to that case?

Tammy Alexander: Well, he actually had a lengthy record already, and he was eligible for extended sentence. He was implicated in the bus station robbery, and had initially confessed, complete confession to driving the getaway car. But Ed's attorney got that confession for the bus station robbery thrown out and got his charges dropped. But he was frantically accusing Jamie of several other robberies in town and the Clark station murder-robbery. Of course, he didn't give the details that he gave in testimony, which is the big question. A lot of these change. People say one thing in 1991 and then they say something completely different in 1999 when they testified. And there were a lot of details that were included later on.

He did go to jail for residential burglary, and he received 19 years in prison for that. The cops were furious. It could have been they felt like he got away with the bus station robbery. We don't know. But they locked him up and threw away the key. So when Jamie went to trial a week before Ed's release, after he had done about 10 years and his time was up for parole, that's when they dragged him into court and pressured him into telling these stories on the stand.

Bruce Fischer: So what exactly did they do to Palumbo in 2000 to get him to testify against Jamie?

Tammy Alexander: Well a month before his release, they showed up. They took him out of the prison that he was in. And he was finishing his time. And he was in a work program, which was great. He was happy at the end of that very long stint. They took all of his property and threw him in the seg until Jamie's trial. And they told him that if he didn't testify that he would not get his parole at 10 years, and they would tack on a perjury charge and give him five more years. So he does this long stint for residential burglary. And they come up to him. They take everything away right before he's about to get out. And they say well, if you don't do this we're going to give you five more years. Instead of the 19 years they would tack on a perjury charge and give him even more time. So another five. So he was thinking that he was never going to get out, that he was going to spend the rest of his life in prison. And that's a lot of pressure.

Bruce Fischer: There seemed to be a cozy relationship between Barkes, his wife, and Palumbo and his wife. What was that all about?

Tammy Alexander: Not really sure what this friendly foursome was about. Obviously they were all friends. Shannon testified that on the night of the crime, she and Ed were having Easter dinner with Julie Barkes and Rick Barkes. And that's when Rick got a call about the Clark station homicide and they all went down there. Barkes' wife also had arranged for Palumbo to turn himself in, so they were definitely friends. Jamie's attorney tried to bring this relationship out when Shannon testified, but the judge wouldn't allow it.

He said that it was a long tirade, but basically he said anyone who has some kind of relationship with anybody else involved in the state's case, he was asking whether they could come out and whether that was true or not. Could he ask, does Shannon know Katz? Or some other witness know Katz? And if you said yes I do, then are you good friends? And then you'd have to call some third witness to say I saw Katz. And that witness together, so they must be lying. So he was saying that it could go on and on and on.

The irony of this, in my mind when I was reading this testimony, is that Ed Palumbo was the one that was the reason that Jamie's attorney got kicked off of the case because she had represented him in a completely different matter 10 years before.

And that was actually the bus station. She had represented him in the bus station. She's the one that got the confession thrown out.

We've talked about Ray before, who has been working on this case for many years. And he's a former chief of police. He's retired. He always says that they had a policy in their department that you couldn't be friends with informants. They had a strict policy. You're not going out to dinner with them. You're not going out for beers. You're not hanging out at their house. So I just wanted to point that out because I thought that was a really interesting observation by Ray.

Bruce Fischer: Lesley, let's go over Palumbo's statements. What were the discrepancies with Palumbo's statements?

Lesley Pires: When Palumbo was sitting in jail for the armed bus robbery, he confessed that he was driving the car and said he had information Jamie did a similar robbery. One month later, he was interviewed again while still in jail. And this time he threw in that conversation about the newspaper he had with Jamie through the car window. By this time, he had only said he told his girlfriend about that conversation. He never said she was in the car.

During the interview he also piled on and accused Jamie of five other robberies, having stolen guns in his home in a hole in the wall, and the Clark murder. He actually called the detective back in to see him on his day off two days later back into the jail, and said he just wanted to make sure he understood what he said about Jamie. He only that time accused Jamie of two robberies, and he didn't even mention the Clark station murder-robbery at all.

Two and a half years later, another officer reviews the case file and decides that Palumbo and his girlfriend are lying. It all has to do with him trying to get off for his own bus station robbery charges, and the lead is not credible. However, when the original detective retires and the detectives who were working on Palumbo get back into power, they pull Palumbo into the grand jury to indict Jamie eight years after the crime and five years after he was already cleared. This time Palumbo says that his girlfriend was actually in the car with him when Jamie was talking about the newspaper and a gun going off, kid dies. He also adds in that Jamie told him later he got rid of the gun.

He had extra details too, like he remembered Jamie's face while he was talking about it again. He says he was so serious. He just knew too much. And interestingly, he says that Jamie was someone with a smart mouth who always slipped by. And that's exactly what the officer who cleared Jamie said. He said that Palumbo was probably jealous Jamie got off for a similar crime while he was caught for his. So he thought, why not toss him in jail for the murder and all these other crimes.

Well, the resentment in Palumbo's testimony is clear there. And he also added that Jamie said the composite didn't look like him, that Jamie's motive was that Bill had a smart mouth during the hold up. He had the nerve to say that this death was all the final result of Jamie living a life like that. I mean, I don't understand how he got all these extra details added in about Jamie's personality and things he resented about him. He kind of put a narrative to it all and it was weird, and it makes me wonder who coached him or if he was just really bitter still.

Tammy Alexander: What's interesting to me also and what's important is Shannon's tape that we got from FOIA that we had not heard before. She still says they asked her directly, was she in the car. She said no, I was not in the car. I was not in the car with Palumbo when allegedly he passed Jamie on Olive Street. So they had to have Shannon to corroborate Ed Palumbo's story.

Bruce Fischer: Lesley, what happened with Palumbo at Jamie's trial?

Lesley Pires: Well, he pretty much gave the same story he gave to the grand jury. The talk about the confession through the car window that his girlfriend heard, the motive being that the victim had a smart mouth, and that the composite did not look like Jamie. But here contrary to his girlfriend's 1991 statement, he says he doesn't remember calling the police to report Jamie. But we know through his girlfriend that he told detective Barkes over the phone. This statement was not brought out into court to impeach his testimony, and it was just missed. Jamie's defense attorney kept at him though and got Palumbo to admit that he had a conversation with an investigator recently, where he admitted he didn't think that Jamie committed the crime. But it stops there. He got him to relent that, yeah he said that to the investigator, but not to say he still believes that Jamie didn't do it right there on the stand.

Picl also must have had an idea about how Detective Barkes was angry with him and pressuring him in the interrogation room, because he asked him about his angry

reaction when Palumbo supposedly said he would comply as long as in custody, but not when he got out. In response, Palumbo pulls the same stunt he did before. And he verifies that yeah, they did have that conversation but it just doesn't matter. Who's Detective Barkes? He has nothing to do with anything, and he's insignificant. And the lawyer stops asking about it.

Later on redirect by the prosecutor, Reynard asks Palumbo if he was promised anything by prosecutors in return for his testimony. And that's interesting because he doesn't say investigators or BPD at all. He just says myself or Tina Griffin. And of course Palumbo agrees with him. And Picl doesn't follow up on this and ask if anybody else made any promises or threats to him. Picl tried to ask Palumbo about his armed robbery case next, but it was objected to. And all that was said was by Palumbo, saying I don't remember if those charges were dismissed. But yes they were. After he confessed, after he implicated Jamie in six crimes, he then got off the hook himself.

Most shockingly after this exchange, the jury is being dismissed and given their instructions for the day not to discuss the case or review media about the case... And Palumbo from the witness box sitting in there addresses them out in open court and says, "Or don't smoke crack because we all know crack kills." And no one said anything in response. I mean, what the hell? How did that not taint the jury? Was he saying that drugs were involved in this murder-robbery? I don't understand why he was being so belligerent at that moment if he was so scared and he just wanted to go home. And that's really it. That's the last the jury heard from Palumbo.

Bruce Fischer So in summary, what has Palumbo recanted to since all of this?

Lesley Pires: Palumbo has submitted affidavits and done recorded interviews. He's recanted everything. He said that Jamie is right. They had the newspaper conversation in the car, and it was a misunderstanding about if Jamie was going to read about him in the paper. He says Jamie never said he killed Bill Little to him during that conversation. He admitted that he was gifted visits with his girlfriend for his compliance, and that he was threatened with segregation and an extended prison sentence for perjury. However, what is missing is that he did not admit to making up the list of crimes in his statement to get out of the bus robbery. And he did not discuss how he changed his story to say his girlfriend was in the car during that supposed confession. He just says he thought it was all hearsay. It wouldn't go

anywhere. And he was surprised that Jamie's lawyer didn't bring up the fact that he was due for release one week after he gave his testimony.

Tammy Alexander: You know, I think he forgot about the whole car conversation. I've talked to so many of these people, and they don't remember what they said. It just seems to me like it was fed. You know, obviously things were fed.

Lesley Pires: So Jamie remembers that conversation though. We just heard him say that he remembers it. So do you think they really did have that conversation?

Tammy Alexander: I think they did have the conversation. Tammy corroborated that conversation. Basically they said they were passing each other on Olive Street, and all of these robberies were going on. And Tammy said they passed him, and Jamie just stopped real quick and said, "Hey, I read about you in the paper." And he just got this look on his face and sped away. That was the extent of it. Now Jamie says they never went to Funks Grove. He doesn't even know what that's all about--hiding the gun, and why did you say this in front of my girlfriend, and... You know, that was a whole other story.

Lesley Pires: Yeah. And Jamie also says that Palumbo was never at his house. So that whole story about Jamie having two stolen revolvers and pulling them out of a hole in the wall in his trailer, that was just completely fabricated. Palumbo was never at his house.

Tammy Alexander: The thing that got me was, he tried to blame Jamie for a crime that he had just confessed to.

Lesley Pires: Exactly. There were six of them. He threw him under the bus for five different crimes, five different burglaries and robberies. And then also was like, oh yeah and the murder.

Tammy Alexander: So what that tells me is that they were after Jamie. You know, that he was doing everything he could to give them what they wanted.

Lesley Pires: Yeah, exactly. Because when you read that police report, he doesn't mention anybody else. It seems like he had the direction to go in and he knew that he was supposed to be talking about Jamie Snow. And how does it go from zero to six crimes just in one month?

Tammy Alexander: Yeah. And he was scared. He knew that they were pissed, and he knew that he was eligible for an extended sentence. And you know what? He was right, because they locked him up. And then when that memo went out in '93, one of the responses was we tried to talk to Ed and he ain't gonna have anything to do with it. He's in there doing his time, and that's it. He don't want to have anything to do with it. And the girlfriend Shannon is just parroting whatever he says. So he was... That person of authority with the police was saying that there was no credibility with Ed Palumbo or Shannon. And that he was right.

Lesley Pires: Yeah, he was. Ed Palumbo had told them to go fly a kite. He wasn't going to talk to them. And he had also previously told Detective Barkes he was going to cooperate as long as he was in custody, but as soon as he got out he would never say a harmful thing against Jamie Snow. So, that's what sped all this up and made it so intense. To throw him in seg and get him to cooperate before that one week was up and he was back home.

Tammy Alexander: But you know what? Why wasn't any of this used? Why wasn't any of this used to defend Jamie? I mean, that's the big picture here. All these changing stories, his attorney should have put that police report in his face and made him read it on the stand. I mean, that's just...

Lesley Pires: Yeah. And why... I don't understand why he couldn't say you're gonna go home in one week. It does make me wonder though if the judge was in on it. Because he did try to get Ed to talk about his case. They just kept objecting to it. So maybe the judge wouldn't let any of that in and there was no way to lead into that.

Tammy Alexander: Same way that he wouldn't let the cozy relationship in between the four of them in. That's a very lame reason. It's not the same. It's not the same if she knows Katz or of Katz, and that she's powing around with the Barkes' and having Easter dinner with them.

Lesley Pires: Yeah. I mean, it just really seems like maybe the fix was in, like Jamie likes to say. And I did notice something that we talked about in the last episode that I thought was kind of funny. Jamie's defense attorney did ask Ed Palumbo on the stand about something he said during Susan Claycomb's trial, and nobody objected and it got in. So he said, "Oh, did you say this to Susan's defense attorney?" And Ed

Palumbo says yes, I did say that. And it goes off without a hitch and they talk about it.

But when we're trying to ask a police officer what he said at that same trial or to the grand jury, that gets thrown out. When they wanted to ask Detective Crowe about what he had previously testified to about Jamie, and about the lineup, and about if other people had refused to go in... All the sudden big objection, and judges on their side, and nobody ever gets to hear about it. Because the transcript wasn't there. But when Ed Palumbo is on the stand, yeah, they get to briefly talk about it. It's fine then.

Tammy Alexander: Ridiculous. It makes me so mad. It's just infuriating. It's such a hard case to cover because it's so wrong on every level.

Bruce Fischer: That's an understatement.

Tammy Alexander: Yeah.

Bruce Fischer: Every single thing you look at with the case, nothing went right. There's not one thing that you could say, well they had that right. At least I haven't found anything that I felt that way about.

Lesley Pires: No. And every time we do an episode, every witness there's just tons and tons of discrepancies. There's never one that went right, like you said.

Bruce Fischer: That is unusual. A lot of cases that are wrongful conviction, there are things that you can say the police did well or the judge got that right. In this case, there's nothing. Everything was fucked up.

Tammy Alexander: How many does it take? That's my question. How many does it take? When you know that somebody put somebody on the stand, one person. One person knowingly perjured witness. Doesn't that taint the whole thing?

Bruce Fischer: See, that's what I always thought. But even Paul said it last week. He said you put up 12, and if 10 of them are bullshit but you get two of them that told the truth, now you have two. That's good enough. It's like wait a minute. You put up 10 that lied. Shouldn't that just blow your whole case up?

Tammy Alexander: I say one. Because as we get into it, we'll see we have concrete proof.

Bruce Fischer: If you're willing to use any of them at all, that means that your case sucks. But for some reason, we see this shit happen. So, apparently our opinions are not concrete.

Lesley Pires: In this episode, we show just how easy it can be to get someone who is simply desperate to make up a murder confession. Ed Palumbo blamed Jamie for a crime he had just confessed to, piled on Jamie saying he supposedly confessed to murder, and then had his girlfriend Shannon Schmidt vouch for him. He was let go, but roped back in eight years later while in prison for another crime. He was thrown into seg and threatened with more time. So he caved. Said he didn't think his hearsay story should matter. But it put Jamie away for life.

If you have any information that may help Jamie, please call the tip line at 888-710-SNOW. There is a \$10,000 reward for any information leading to a new trial or the exoneration of Jamie Snow. Palumbo wasn't the only one who made up a story against Jamie to get out of seg and prison time. The same threats were applied to another person, and a second confession was born. How did they get away with it? That's next time on Snow Files.

Transcribed by: Caywood Yamnik

© 2020 Snow Files. All Rights Reserved.